

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2005/07/01 : CIA-RDP82M00591R000100070025-8

Chen

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
Security Committee

SECOM-M-212
30 May 1978

Minutes
Two Hundred and Twelfth Meeting
Wednesday, 24 May 1978, 1000 Hours
Room 4E64, Langley Headquarters Building

Robert W. Gambino
Chairman
Presiding

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mr. David Ryan, Federal Bureau of Investigation
Mr. Maynard Anderson, Department of the Navy
Mr. Merrill T. Kelly, Department of the Army
Col. Russell T. Newman, Department of the Air Force

ALTERNATES PRESENT

25X1

[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency
[redacted] National Security Agency

Mr. Martin J. Dowd, Department of Energy
Mr. David Humphrey, Department of Treasury

25X1

[redacted] Defense Intelligence Agency
Mr. Richard L. Welch, Department of the Navy
Maj. Henry R. Koch, Department of the Air Force

ALSO PRESENT

25X1

[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency
[redacted] Executive Secretary, R&D Subcommittee
[redacted] Chairman, Computer Security Subcommittee
[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency
[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency
[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency
[redacted] National Security Agency

25X1

Mr. Donald Stigers, Department of State
[redacted] Defense Intelligence Agency
[redacted] Executive Secretary, DCI Security Committee
[redacted] DCI Security Committee

*Present for part of meeting.

Approved For Release 2005/07/01 : CIA-RDP82M00591R000100070025-8

~~SECRET~~

SECOM-M-212
30 May 1978

Special Briefing

[] CIA, briefed the members on current estimated costs of the 4C System. He identified the members of his requirements team, which had been assembled by the CIA/Director of Security to do a cost analysis to support an FY 1980 program submission to fund the 4C System. [] said that DCI's requirements for the system were that it (1) contain accurate, current, and complete data on the numbers, types, and distribution of compartmented access approvals, and (2) be able to report on their status at any given time. He noted that the system is technically feasible, and that it will satisfy Community needs, replacing existing systems except for some of the functions performed by current DIA and NSA systems (e.g., badge and collateral clearance controls). [] said that two large minicomputers for load sharing and backup would be needed, and that software and programming, not now available, would be required to meet unique system requirements. He stated that operation of the 4C System should not require any significant increase in personnel costs over those now dedicated to present systems. He said approximately [] people would be needed to run the central system. [] estimated that about thirty months would be needed from time of decision on the system to attain operational capability in the Washington area. Projected total cost for a Washington-area 4C System is [] Of this, [] for hardware, [] remote terminals, [] for software, and [] communications. The remainder would be for personal services and facility preparation. He estimated annual recurring non-personnel costs at [] These projections were tentative because all Community inputs had not yet been received.

[] Following the briefing, the Chairman asked the members if their agencies were still in favor of the 4C System. [] DIA, and Mr. Kelly, Army, stated their support, but noted that the 4C System would not be a panacea. Mr. Stigers, State, said they were concerned that the system would not necessarily permit a check on all of the accesses of a person from another agency. The Chairman stated that the security of very closely held programs required that access to records identifying them be suppressed in the case of those not involved. Mr. Anderson, Navy, said he believed the 4C System would save much time and expense. No members stated opposition to the system. Mr. Gambino asked that all outstanding cost and data inputs be submitted as soon as possible.

Preliminary Comments

a. [] The Chairman noted that all members had concurred in the draft Harassments and Provocations Study. He asked that Committee members provide the SECOM Staff with their distribution requirements as soon as possible to help meet the mid-June printing date.

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2005/07/01 : CIA-RDP82M00591R000100070025-8

SECOM-M-212
30 May 1978

25X1

b. [] The Chairman advised that the Department of Commerce and the Department of Justice had stated an interest in possible participation on DCI Committees. He said that informational material on SECOM duties was sent to Justice to aid it in clarifying their interests in SECOM participation. Commerce will be provided the same material.

25X1

c. [] The Chairman said the DCI had asked that a zero-based approach be taken to determine needs for compartmented clearances. Mr. Gambino noted that Lawrence Livermore Laboratory was the first contractor being surveyed, and that the results would serve as a guide to future action. The Office of Data Processing was the first element to be so examined within CIA.

25X1

d. [] Copies of a DCI organizational chart showing changes resulting from Executive Order 12036 were distributed to Committee members for their information. Mr. Gambino noted that Mr. Blake, CIA Deputy Director for Administration, had a Community role as Deputy to the DCI for Support.

25X1

e. [] The Chairman advised the Committee of a recent meeting with the DCI to discuss several proposed DCIDs on security issues. Mr. Gambino said the NFIB would discuss DCIDs previously circulated for formal comment, and that the proposed DCID on compartmentation would be sent to NFIB members for concurrence or comment. With regard to the proposed DCID on duty and travel restrictions, Mr. Gambino said he had suggested that it include a reference to DCI authority to ban travel of persons with sensitive access to areas involved in war or other crisis situations.

25X1

ITEM 1: Approval of Minutes

25X1

[] The minutes of the 26 April 1978 meeting, distributed in SECOM-M-211, were approved with the following change in the first sentence of Item 4.b: "Mr. Stigers, State, stated concern that a body other than the Security Committee had issued the security procedures for the Imagery Transmission System - Washington."

ITEM 2: Reports of Subcommittee and Working Group Chairmen

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/07/01 : CIA-RDP82M00591R000100070025-8

~~SECRET~~

SECRET

SECOM-M-212
30 May 1978

25X1

25X1

[REDACTED]

25X1

b. [REDACTED] R&D Subcommittee. [REDACTED] Executive Secretary, summarized their recent activity, including R&D inquiries about motor vehicle armor protection.

25X1
25X1

[REDACTED]

Mr. Gambino noted that CIA, in cooperation with State and OSI, had prepared a film on vehicle security.

25X1

c. [REDACTED] Compartmentation Subcommittee. Colonel Newman, Chairman, provided responses to previous subcommittee tasking to review (1) the list of countries where duty and travel restrictions apply, and (2) the attachment to DCID 1/7 concerning release of compartmented data to contractors. Copies of these responses and the NSA dissent on DCID 1/7 are attached for future action by the Committee. Colonel Newman advised that the subcommittee expects to complete their task to verify records listing Congressional staff compartmented accesses by June.

25X1

d. [REDACTED] Computer Security Subcommittee.

25X1

[REDACTED]

25X1

[REDACTED] summarized subcommittee actions on various projects, and noted that Defense was working towards a program to enhance industry's understanding of and support for good security measures for ADP equipment.

25X1

e. [REDACTED] Unauthorized Disclosures Working Group. [REDACTED] Chairman, provided members a summary report on leaks since the last Committee meeting.

25X1
25X1

[REDACTED]

25X1

f. [REDACTED] Investigative Standards and SCI Turndown Working Groups. No reports due to absence of chairmen.

SECOM-M-212
30 May 1978

25X1 g. [] Mr. Gambino noted that the Security Awareness Working
Group had been inactive and questioned its continuation. [] 25X1
25X1 NSA, advised of a change in chairman [] to replace 25X1
[] and of plans to call a meeting in the near future
to get the group moving again. Comments from Committee members showed
an interest in maintaining the working group. 25X1

25X1 h. [] Mr. Gambino advised the members of the following changes
in the subcommittees:

[]
[] Mr. Gambino asked all other 25X1
chairmen to remain. He noted that the work of the Compartmentation
Subcommittee would be substantially increased if the proposed compart-
mentation DCID is approved. He said that CIA now supported it. []
asked members to review the Committee's charter (DCID 1/11), and consider
how we should respond to the specific tasks therein.

ITEM 3: Foreign Disclosure Working Group Report

25X1 [] Mr. Anderson, Navy, briefed the Committee on the Foreign
Disclosure Working Group Report. He said the group had agreed that
three main problems needed to be addressed in this area: (1) the lack
of uniform policy on compartmented disclosure, (2) the lack of clear
guidance on what may be disclosed to foreign visitors as opposed to
foreign governments, and (3) the inability to readily identify what
compartmented data has been disclosed. Mr. Anderson noted that the
NSA dissent stated that adequate guidance on SIGINT disclosure was
provided [] 25X1
He recommended that
the report's findings and conclusions be (1) staffed by the Chairman
with COMIREX and the SIGINT Committee, with a view towards determining
if agreement can be reached that a problem exists, and (2) referred to
the NFIB for a position. [] noted that existing systems had
not permitted DIA to tell their Director, prior to a foreign visit, what
compartmented data had been disclosed to the countries involved. 25X1
Mr. Kelly, Army, questioned whether a system to record what had been
disclosed would really do any more than reinforce general appreciations
of the extent of disclosure. Mr. Anderson said he believed such a system
would be useful, and would be helpful in better coordinating R&D and
tactical matters. Mr. Gambino said he thought the best approach would be
to obtain COMIREX and SIGINT Committee comments, and then refer the issue
to the DCI for decision as to whether it should be further explored.

SECOM-M-212
30 May 1978

ITEM 4: Air Force Request on Contractor Status

25X1 [] Colonel Newman distributed and briefly summarized a memo-
random providing answers to the questions raised at the 8 February 1978
Committee meeting on this request. Mr. Gambino was informed that the
25X1 contractor involved was a private firm and was supported by the Air
Force. [] noted that the major problem seemed to be delays in
acting on requests to authorize release to the contractor. He suggested
that concentration on getting timely action would relieve the situation.
He said he had discussed this matter with the COMIREX staff, and found that
they were unwilling to approve a blanket release policy. Representatives
of the following agencies supported the Air Force request: DIA, CIA,
Navy, Energy, State, and Treasury. [] said NSA had problems 25X1
with the request, and the Chairman asked NSA to provide details on their 25X1
concern.



ITEM 6: New Business

25X1 a. [] Mr. Kelly offered to host a Committee meeting in September
to hear a briefing on Army counterintelligence programs in the interest of
helping Committee members focus on tasks assigned to the SECOM. Mr. Ryan
said the FBI could present a briefing on unauthorized disclosure matters
for a future Committee meeting.

25X1 b. [] informed the members of a study on damage
assessment procedures being done by a CIA contractor with Community
cooperation.

ITEM 7: Next Meeting

25X1 [] The Chairman scheduled the next Committee meeting for 14 June
1978 in Room 7D32, Langley Headquarters Building. [] 25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/07/01 : CIA-RDP82M00591R000100070025-8

Next 4 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2005/07/01 : CIA-RDP82M00591R000100070025-8